

## ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY OF SPR\_SODE MODEL FOR DENGUE

S. DHEVARAJAN<sup>1</sup>, A. IYEMPERUMAL<sup>2</sup>, S. P. RAJAGOPALAN<sup>3</sup> & D. KALPANA<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Dr. MGR Educational and Research Institute, University, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry, PSB Polytechnic College, Tamil Nadu, India

### ABSTRACT

An ordinary differential equation with stochastic parameters, called SPR\_SODE model for the spread of dengue fever was considered to analyze further. It was defined the set of stochastic equations and a reproductive number  $R_0$ . This  $R_0$  was defined for mosquito as well as human parameters. In this paper, the asymptotic stability of the disease-free equilibrium point of the above said model was discussed.

**KEYWORDS:** SPR\_SODE Model, Stochastic, Scaled Variables, Asymptotic Stability, Reproductive Number

### INTRODUCTION

Dengue is one of the diseases which is in worldwide and comes under infectious diseases. The work of a carrier (i.e) the medium for transmitting is performed by the mosquito, “Aedes Aegypti [4, Gantmacher.F.R., 1977]. There are so many models for such infectious diseases. We need a separate model for such a special disease like dengue fever for better results. In this work, the SPR\_SODE model [2,3, Dhevarajan.S, et.al, 2013] (SPR\_Stochastic Ordinary differential model) is considered to analyze further. Asymptotically stable equilibrium points or equilibrium solutions can be defined as the equilibrium solutions in which solutions that start “near” them move toward the equilibrium solution [1, Boyd et.al, 1999].

### SPR\_SODE MODEL

All the notions of SPR\_SODE model [2,3, Dhevarajan.S, et.al, 2013] are taken for further analysis without any change and the same model is given below.

$$\frac{d}{dt}[SS_h] = \phi_h + b_h[TP]_h + L_h[RC]_h - \tau_h(t)[SS]_h - \Omega_h[TP]_h[SS]_h$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}[EX_h] = \tau_h(t)[SS]_h - \xi_h[EX]_h - \Omega_h([TP]_h)[EX]_h$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}[IF_h] = \xi_h[EX]_h - [RC]_h[IF]_h - \Omega_h([TP]_h)[RC]_h$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}[RC_h] = \theta_h[IF]_h - L_h[RC]_h - \Omega_h([TP]_h)[IF]_h - \eta[IF]_h$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}[SS_m] = [BIR]_m[TP]_m - \tau_m(t)[SS]_m - \Omega_m([TP]_m)[SS]_m$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}[EX_m] = \tau_m(t)[SS]_m - \xi_m[EX]_m - \Omega_m([TP]_m)[EX]_m$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}[IF_m] = \xi_m[EX]_m - \Omega_m([TP]_m)[IF]_m \quad (A)$$

By converting (A) to fractional quantities and denoting each scaled population by small letters, one can get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}[ex]_h &= \frac{\phi_h \phi_m P_{mh} [if]_m}{\phi_m [TP]_m + \phi_h [TP]_h} \cdot [TP]_m \cdot [1 - [ex]_h - [if]_h - [re]_h] - \left[ \xi_h + [BIR]_h + \frac{\wp_h}{[TP]_h} \right] [ex]_h + \eta_h [if]_h [ex]_h \\
\frac{d}{dt}[if]_h &= \xi_h [ex]_h - \left( \theta_h + [BIR]_h + \frac{\wp_h}{[TP]_h} \right) [if]_h + \eta_h [if]_h^2 \\
\frac{d}{dt}[rc]_h &= \theta_h [if]_h - \left( L_h + [BIR]_h \frac{\wp_h}{[TP]_h} \right) [rc]_h + \eta_h [if]_h [TP]_h \\
\frac{d}{dt}[TP]_h &= \wp_h + \theta_h [TP]_h - ([DID]_h + [DDD]_h [TP]_h) [TP]_h - \eta_h [if]_h [TP]_h \\
\frac{d}{dt}[ex]_m &= \frac{\phi_h \phi_m}{\phi_m [TP]_m + \phi_h [TP]_h} \cdot [TP]_h \cdot [P_{mh} [if]_h + P_{mh} [rc]_h] \cdot [1 - [ex]_h - [if]_h] - [\xi_h + [BIR]_m] [ex]_m \\
\frac{d}{dt}[if]_m &= \xi_m [ex]_m - [BIR]_m [if]_m \\
\frac{d}{dt}[TP]_m &= [BIR]_m [TP]_m - ([DID]_m + [DDD]_m [TP]_m) [TP]_m
\end{aligned} \tag{B}$$

Now,  $R_0$  can be defined as,  $R_0 = \sqrt{\Re_{hm} \Re_{mh}}$ , (C)

where  $\Re_{hm}$  and  $\Re_{mh}$  can be written in mathematical notation as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Re_{hm} &= \frac{\xi_m}{\xi_m + [DID]_m + [DDD]_m [TP]_m^*} \frac{\phi_h \phi_m P_{mh} [TP]_h^*}{\phi_m [TP]_m^* + \phi_h [TP]_h^*} P_{mh} [DID]_m + [DDD]_m [TP]_m^*]^{-1} \\
\Re_{mh} &= \frac{\xi_h}{\xi_h + [DID]_h + [DDD]_h [TP]_h^*} \frac{\phi_h \phi_m P_{mh} [TP]_m^*}{\phi_h [TP]_h^* + \phi_m [TP]_m^*} \left( \theta_h + \eta_h + [DID]_h + [DDD]_h [TP]_h^* \right)^{-1} \\
&\quad \cdot \left[ P_{mh} + \overline{P_{mh}} \theta_h \left( \eta_h + [DID]_m + [DDD]_m [TP]_h^* \right)^{-1} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{D}$$

## ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY

The Jacobian of the dengue model (B) evaluated at  $\mathcal{X}_{nodis}$  is of the form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & J_{16} & 0 \\ J_{21} & J_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{12} & J_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{42} & 0 & J_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{52} & 0 & J_{54} & J_{55} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & J_{65} & J_{66} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & J_{77} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

Now our interest to find out the eigen values of (1). Let the eigen values be  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, 3, \dots, 7$ . The fourth and seventh columns of the jacobian given by (1) corresponding to the total human and mosquito populations, contain only the diagonal terms. The diagonal terms of the Jacobian (1) provide two of the eigen values say,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  and can be defined by,

$$\lambda_1 = [BIR]_h - [DID]_h - 2[DDD]_h [TP]_h^* = -\sqrt{[BIR]_h - [DID]_h}^2 + 4[DDD]_h \wp_h \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda_2 = [BIR]_m - [DID]_m - 2[DDD]_m [TP]_m^* = -[BIR]_m - [DID]_m \quad (3)$$

Let us conclude from the earlier assumption that, both  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are always negative since  $[BIR]_m > [DID]_m$ . Let us create another matrix by excluding the fourth and seventh rows and columns of the Jacobian (1). By solving the characteristic equation of the matrix formed now, gives the other five eigen values. Now by defining  $U_i$ 's as  $U_1 = \xi_h + [BIR]_h + \frac{\wp_h}{[TP]_h^*}$ ,  $U_2 = \theta_h + \eta_h + [BIR]_h + \frac{\wp_h}{[TP]_h^*}$ ,  $U_3 = L_h + \eta_h + [BIR]_h + \frac{\wp_h}{[TP]_h^*}$ ,  $U_4 = \xi_m + [BIR]_m$ ,  $U_5 = [BIR]_m$ ,  $U_6 = [MB]_h^* \cdot P_{mh}$ ,  $U_7 = \xi_h$ ,  $U_8 = [MB]_m^* \cdot P_{hm}$ ,  $U_9 = \xi_m$ ,  $U_{10} = \theta_h$ ,  $U_{11} = [MB]_m^* \cdot \overline{P_{hm}}$ , Where,  $W_i$ 's can be written as,

$$W_5 = 1; W_4 = U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4 + U_5; W_3 = U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4 + U_5,$$

$$W_3 = U_1 U_2 + U_1 U_3 + U_1 U_4 + U_1 U_5 + U_2 U_3 + U_2 U_4 + U_2 U_5 + U_3 U_4 + U_3 U_5 + U_4 U_5,$$

$$W_2 = U_1 U_2 U_3 + U_1 U_2 U_4 + U_1 U_2 U_5 + U_1 U_3 U_4 + U_1 U_3 U_5 + U_1 U_4 U_5 + U_2 U_3 U_4 + U_2 U_3 U_5 + U_2 U_4 U_5 + U_3 U_4 U_5,$$

$$W_1 = U_1 U_2 U_3 U_4 + U_1 U_2 U_3 U_5 + U_1 U_2 U_4 U_5 + U_1 U_3 U_4 U_5 + U_2 U_3 U_4 U_5 - U_6 U_7 U_8 U_9,$$

$$W_0 = U_1 U_2 U_3 U_4 U_5 - U_3 U_6 U_7 U_8 U_9 - U_6 U_7 U_9 U_{10} U_{11} \text{ With}$$

$$W_5 \lambda^5 + W_4 \lambda^4 + W_3 \lambda^3 + W_2 \lambda^2 + W_1 \lambda + A_0 = 0 \quad (4)$$

Now, we have to find out the signs of the solutions of (4). The Liénard – Chipart criterion [6] gives, any of the following four conditions is necessary and sufficient in order that all roots of a polynomial  $a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0$  with real coefficients have negative real parts:

$$a_n > 0, a_{n-2} > 0, \dots, \Delta_1 > 0, \Delta_3 > 0, \dots; a_n > 0, a_{n-2} > 0, \dots, \Delta_2 > 0, \Delta_4 > 0, \dots;$$

$$a_n > 0, a_{n-1} > 0, a_{n-3} > 0, \dots, \Delta_1 > 0, \Delta_3 > 0, \dots; a_n > 0, a_{n-1} > 0, a_{n-3} > 0, \dots, \Delta_2 > 0, \Delta_4 > 0, \dots, \text{ where}$$

$\Delta_i$  be the principal minors with order  $i$ , with  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ . For the use the Routh–Hurwitz criterion, First it is to prove that when  $R_0 < 1$ , all roots of (4) have negative real part. The Routh–Hurwitz criterion [5, section 1.6-6(b)] states that for a real algebraic equation

$$a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0 \quad (5)$$

Given  $a_n > 0$ , all roots have negative real part if and only if  $a_n = V_0$ ,  $a_{n-1} = V_1$ ,

$$V_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{n-1} & a_n \\ a_{n-3} & a_{n-2} \end{vmatrix}, V_3 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{n-1} & a_n & 0 \\ a_{n-3} & a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-5} & a_{n-4} & a_{n-3} \end{vmatrix}, \dots V_n = \begin{vmatrix} a_{n-1} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & a_0 \end{vmatrix}$$

are all positive, with  $a_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$ . This is true if

and only if all  $a_i$  and either all even-numbered  $V_k$  or all odd-numbered  $V_k$  are positive (6, Liénard–Chipart test). By Korn and Korn [5] in section 1.6-6(c) state Descartes's rule of sign as the number of positive real roots of a real algebraic equation (5) is equal to the number;  $N_a$ , of sign changes in the sequence,  $a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0$  of coefficients, where the departure terms are ignored, or it is less than  $N_a$  by a positive even integer.

Now, it is to show that when  $R_0 < 1$ , all the coefficients,  $W_i$ , of the characteristic equation (4), and  $V_0, V_2$ , and  $V_4$ , are positive, hence by the Routh–Hurwitz criterion one can say that, all the eigen values of (1) have negative real part. Now, it is to show that when  $R_0 > 1$ , there is one and only one sign change in the sequence  $W_5, W_4, \dots, W_0$  hence, by Descartes's rule of sign along with positive real part, there is only one eigen value, and also the disease free equilibrium point is unstable. The expression for  $R_0^2$  in (C) can be written, in terms of  $U_i$ , as

$$R_0^2 = \frac{U_3 U_6 U_7 U_8 U_9 + U_6 U_7 U_9 U_{10} U_{11}}{U_1 U_2 U_3 U_4 U_5} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{For } R_0 < 1, \text{ by (6), } U_3 U_6 U_7 U_8 U_9 + U_6 U_7 U_9 U_{10} U_{11} < U_1 U_2 U_3 U_4 U_5 \quad (7)$$

$$U_6 U_7 U_8 U_9 < U_1 U_2 U_4 U_5 \quad (8)$$

As all the  $B_i$ 's are positive,  $W_5, W_4, W_3$  and  $W_2$  are always positive. From (8) we see that  $W_1 > 0$ , and from (7) we see that  $W_0 > 0$ . Thus, for  $R_0 < 1$ , all  $W_i$  are positive. We now show that the even-numbered  $V_k$  are positive for  $R_0 < 1$ . For the fifth-degree polynomial (4),  $V_0 = W_5$ , which is always positive.  $V_2 = W_3 W_4 - W_2 W_5$ , which we can show to be a positive sum of products of  $U_i$ 's, so  $V_2 > 0$ . Lastly,  $V_4 = W_1 [W_2 W_3 W_4 - (W_1 W_4^2 + W_2^2 W_5)] - W_0 [W_3 (W_3 W_4 - W_2 W_5) - (2W_1 W_4 W_5 - W_0 W_2^5)]$ . For convenience let us use the notations  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  such that  $T_1 = [W_2 W_3 W_4 - (W_1 W_4^2 + W_2^2 W_5)]$  and  $T_2 = [W_3 (W_3 W_4 - W_2 W_5) - (2W_1 W_4 W_5 - W_0 W_2^5)]$  respectively. Where  $T_1 > 0$  and  $T_2 > 0$ . Hence  $V_4 = W_1 T_1 - W_0 T_2$ . Let us define  $T_2^{[1]} = T_2 + U_6 U_7 U_9 U_{10} U_{11}$ . As  $T_2^{[1]} > T_2$  and  $W_0 > 0$  for  $V_4^{[1]} = W_1 T_1 - W_0 T_2^{[1]}$ ,  $V_4 > V_4^{[1]}$ . Similarly, let us define  $W_0^{[1]} = W_0 - U_3 U_6 U_7 U_8 U_9 - U_6 U_7 U_9 U_{10} U_{11}$ . As  $W_4 > W_4^{[1]}$  and  $T_2^{[1]} > 0$ , for  $V_4^{[2]} = W_1 T_1 - W_0^{[1]} T_2^{[1]}$ ,  $V_4^{[1]} > V_4^{[2]}$  at last, let us define  $W_1^{[1]} = W_1 - U_1 U_2 U_3 U_5 + U_6 U_7 U_8 U_9$ , As  $W_1^{[1]} < W_1$  (for  $R_0 < 1$ ) and  $T_1 > 0$  For  $V_4^{[3]} = W_1^{[1]} T_1 - W_0^{[1]} T_2^{[1]}$ ,  $V_4^{[2]} > V_4^{[3]}$ . It can be shown that  $V_4^{[3]}$  is a sum of positive terms, so  $V_4^{[3]} > 0$ .  $V_4^{[1]} > V_4^{[2]} > V_4^{[3]}$ ,  $V_4 > 0$ . Thus, for  $R_0 < 1$ , all roots of (4) have negative real parts. When  $R_0 > 1$ ,  $U_3 U_6 U_7 U_8 U_9 + U_6 U_7 U_9 U_{10} U_{11} < U_1 U_2 U_3 U_4 U_5$  and so  $W_0 < 0$ . As  $W_5, W_4, W_3$  and  $W_2$  are positive, the

sequence,  $W_5, W_4, W_3, W_2, W_1$  has exactly one sign change. Thus, by Descartes's rule of sign, (4) has one positive real root when  $R_0 > 1$ .

## CONCLUSIONS

A stochastic ordinary differential equation called SPR\_SODE model for the spread of dengue fever is analyzed. For our model, the disease-free equilibrium point,  $X_{nodis}$ , is locally asymptotically stable if  $R_0 < 1$  and unstable if  $R_0 > 1$ . If  $R_0 < 1$ , on average each infected individual infects less than one other individual, and the disease dies out. If  $R_0 > 1$ , on average each infected individual, infects more than one other individual, so one can expect the disease to spread. The Jacobian of (B) at  $X_{nodis}$  has one eigen value equal to 0 at  $R_0 = 1$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Boyd, John P. "The Devil's Invention: Asymptotic, Super asymptotic and Hyper asymptotic Series". Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 56 (1): 1–98, March 1999.
2. S.Dhevarajan, A.Iyemperumal, S.P.Rajagopalan and D.Kalpana, "SPR\_SODE Model for dengue fever", International Journal of applied mathematical and statistical sciences", ISSN 2319-3972. Vol. 2, Issue 3, 41-46, July 2013.
3. S.Dhevarajan, A.Iyemperumal, S.P.Rajagopalan and D.Kalpana, "Improved SPR\_SODE Model for dengue fever", International Journal of Advanced Scientific and Technical Research", ISSN 2249-9954. Vol. 5, Issue 3, 418-425, Oct. 2013.
4. F.R.Gantmacher, "The theory of matrices", 1, Chelsea, reprint (1977) (Translated from Russian)
5. G.A.Korn, and T.M.Korn, "Mathematical Handbook for Scientists and Engineers: Definitions, Theorems, and Formulas for Reference and Review", Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 2000.
6. A.Liénard and H.Chipart, "Sur la signe de la partie réelle des racines d'une équation algébrique" J. Math. Pures Appl., 10, 1914, pp. 291–346.
7. P.Reiter, "Texas Lifestyle Limits Transmission of Dengue Virus", Emerging Infectious Diseases, 9, 2003, pp. 86-89.

